

Rating Update: Moody's upgrades Liberty University's (VA) bonds to Aa3;

outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 09 Sep 2013

\$99M of rated debt affected

LIBERTY UNIVERSITY, VA Private Colleges & Universities VA

Opinion

NEW YORK, September 09, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has upgraded to Aa3 from A1 the rating on Liberty University's Series 2012 taxable bonds with \$99 million outstanding. The upgrade reflects Liberty's remarkable momentum in revenue growth and cash flow from operations. This momentum, if continued, will produce sufficient cash to fund transformative capital investments as well as to build reserves over time. The growth in revenue and cash and investments makes Liberty a true outlier in Moody's portfolio of not-for-profit universities. Between FY 2008 and FY 2012, operating revenue grew 630%, while total cash and investments increased 148%--more than twice the growth of any other rated university on either measure. The rating outlook is stable.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The upgrade and Aa3 rating reflects the increasing scope of the University's activity (up 14% to \$728 million based on draft information for fiscal 2013), its large pool of financial reserves (\$728 million of total financial resources in FY 2012), uncommonly strong operating performance, and discipline around building and maintaining reserves. Cash and investments increased \$295 million in fiscal 2013, surpassing the \$1 billion mark based on draft reports.

The university's strongly religious character is both a strength and a challenge as it has successfully attracted a growing portion of those students seeking this type of experience but will limit appeal to a broader audience. Additional credit challenges include limited revenue diversity with 89% reliance on student charges based on draft fiscal 2013 data, modest fundraising, and limited pricing power with net tuition per student of \$10,346 and brand identity built partially around affordability.

STRENGTHS

*Liberty benefits from a large and growing student market supported by an extensive array of online programs with full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment of over 50,000 making it one of the largest private universities in the US. Brand strength is built on the university's Christian identity, lower cost, and residential campus experience including intercollegiate athletics.

*Liberty exhibits uncommonly strong operating performance with a three-year average operating margin of 36% through fiscal 2012. The University retains flexibility in its expense base by utilizing full-time and adjunct faculty for online courses for scalability and only offering tenured positions to Law School faculty.

*The university has an ongoing trend of dramatic balance sheet growth from retained surpluses that continued in FY 2013 with a 41% increase in cash and investments. Preliminary results show total cash and investments over \$1 billion as of June 30, 2013. Financial reserves are predominantly liquid and free from restrictions with \$461 million of funds unrestricted and able to be liquidated within a month at FYE 2012.

*Prospects for sustained strength in online enrollment growth are good given institutional prowess, academic cost structure, and economies of scale.

CHALLENGES

*The university has limited revenue diversity, with student charges comprising 91% of FY 2012 revenues. The University's explosive enrollment growth, diversity of academic programs, and relatively low cost help mitigate the risks of concentrated revenues.

- *The university has ongoing capital investment needs for residential program with \$329 million of pending projects through 2017 dependant on meeting growth targets. Future investments are likely to be funded from operating cash flow.
- *With distinct political interests and religious activities of the campus community, Liberty University has faced challenges in the past to its tax-exempt status.
- *Given the limited number of and relatively young age of alumni base, fundraising has remained modest averaging \$12 million per year or \$220 per student in fiscal 2012.
- *Governance and management structure and practices are evolving but decision-making remains relatively concentrated with the Chancellor/President and Liberty has less institutionalization of best practices than more established universities. Governance practices require the board to ascribe to a Liberty University Doctrinal Position, which strengthens the institutional culture but limits diversity of opinion.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS/RESULTS

Based on preliminary, unaudited results for fiscal year 2013 Liberty achieved another remarkable year of revenue growth (up 13.7% to \$728 million) as it continues to attract new online students and slowly build core residential programs. Management guidance for fall 2013 shows ongoing momentum in most market segments.

Despite its low net tuition per student (\$10,346 in fiscal 2012), Liberty's low and scalable cost structure has allowed it to respond to market demand and produce very strong operating cash flow margins. Based on draft fiscal 2013 information, the cash flow margin of 35.3% was in line with prior years.

With cash flow from operations averaging \$243 million per year in fiscal years 2011 through 2013 combined with limited debt service, the university has been able to both fund an aggressive capital program and build working reserves. The capital spending ratio has been over 5.0 times since fiscal 2008, as compared to a median of 1.4 times for the sector. Cash flow has also supported growth of total cash and investments, crossing the \$1 billion mark in fiscal 2013, more than doubling from \$475 million as of June 30, 2011.

PROGRAM EXPANSION: Liberty is planning to increase its role in providing health education. With over \$20 million of capital support from the Tobacco Indemnification Commission, the university is starting a College of Osteopathic Medicine with an inaugural class in the fall of 2014. The program has received provisional accreditation from the American Osteopathic Association Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation as well as its regional accrediting agency, the Southern Association of College and Schools. Management's projections show the new program will be self-sustaining after the third year of operation.

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT: Given the growth of Liberty's cash and investments, the university has increasing focused on managing its reserves. Since 2012 the board has developed and approved an Investment Policy Statement and has established guidance on maintaining a defensive level of reserves to guard against potential revenue declines.

The university has outsourced its chief investment officer function to Permanens Capital, a portfolio management firm in New York that has developed a custom asset allocation for Liberty in keeping with the new policy. The university's investment committee has seven members, four of whom are university employees including the President and Executive Vice President and one is the Chief Investment Officer at Permanens Capital the portfolio, again pointing to somewhat concentrated decision making power. The overall posture of the allocation as of June 30, 2013 was more defensive than most private universities, with just 13% of equity assets, 76% fixed income and similar assets and 11% real assets/natural resources which may limit growth in reserves from investment returns.

OUTLOOK

The stable outlook reflects expectations of continued student market strength, extraordinary cash flow supporting growth of flexible reserves as well as the bulk of funding for capital investments. The outlook also reflects expectations of slow improvement in revenue diversity and absence of plans for additional debt.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

An upgrade could be supported by the continuation of recent trends including continued student market strength and resource growth, combined with limited additional debt. While the young university has made significant improvements in its governance and management over the last several years, a future rating upgrade would be

dependent on continued evolution and institutionalization of best practices. Recent improvements include longrange operating and capital planning, defining the proper amount of flexible reserves to maintain and implementation of an Investment Policy Statement.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Downward pressure could follow a decline in balance sheet strength, marked increased in operating leverage, material deterioration in operating performance, leadership disruption, dislocation of residential or online student market segments, or loss in tax-exempt status.

KEY INDICATORS (FY 2012 financial data, fall 2012 enrollment data)

Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment: 56,325 students

Primary Selectivity: 24.6%
Primary Matriculation: 47.9%

Net Tuition per Student: \$10,346

Educational Expenses per Student: \$7,338

Average Gifts per Student: \$220

Total Cash and Investments: \$728 million

Total Direct Debt: \$228 million

Comprehensive Debt*: \$234 million

Expendable Financial Resources to Direct Debt: 2.45 times

Expendable Financial Resources to Operations: 1.34 times

Monthly Days Cash on Hand: 449 days

Monthly Liquidity to Demand Debt: 16,795%

Operating Revenue: \$640 million

Operating Cash Flow Margin: 38.2%

Three-Year Average Debt Service Coverage: 27.8 times

Reliance on Tuition and Auxiliaries Revenue (% of Moody's Adjusted Operating Revenue): 90.6%

* Comprehensive Debt includes direct debt, operating leases, and pension obligation, if applicable.

RATED DEBT:

Taxable Bonds, Series 2012: Aa3

PRINCIPAL RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings,

this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Dennis M. Gephardt Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Edith Behr Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S

PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing. holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By

continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.